

Excerpts from **Consumers Digest**

"The following information appeared as editorial in CONSUMERS DIGEST March/April 1982 issue. It does not represent a direct or indirect endorsement of this product." Randy Weber, Editor


In the July/August 1981 issue of CONSUMERS DIGEST, we admitted a lack of knowledge about engine treatment products ("Questions & Answers"). A deluge of inquiries and testimonials followed, so we investigated further. We were somewhat skeptical at first, but it turns out that the product does exactly what the manufacturer claims it does. In fact, the more we looked, the more facts stacked up on the product's side.

TEST RESULTS. The fact that it reduces engine wear—that it does attach to metal parts—has been verified by tests conducted at the Franklin Institute, the University of Utah Engineering Experiment Station, and by La Tourneau College in Texas. The FAA has approved the product for use in aircraft, and another product for small engines (motorcycles, lawn mowers, and so on) has also been favorably tested.


Perhaps most dramatic, the Automotive Services Councils for Pennsylvania, Inc., performed a torture-test with excellent results. Three cars, with between 75,000 and 129,000 miles on them, were treated. Six months later, the oil was drained from each vehicle, and the cars were driven, without the oil plugs, for about a half hour. The water temperature never rose, and the engines sustained no apparent damage.

* The above are excerpts from the article "Gas-Saving Additive" which appeared in CONSUMER DIGEST.

** These test were performed with PFL Formula 101 marketed under another trade name.


JOHN BISHOP, PRESIDENT

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF HARRIS


CATHY SIMMONS

My commission Expires 05-15-90

